Gender matters.¹ Some think maleness and femaleness are social constructs from which people should be freed. That some governments take this view to heart is evidenced by Alberta’s recent education guidelines, Ontario’s ban on particular types of counselling for teens, and the introduction of Bill C-16 in Parliament. Canadian politicians are willing to dramatically change public policy as it relates to gender and sexuality with minimal scientific research. This approach is harmful for all members of society, but particularly for transgendered youth.

Debate over gender identity theory must not be confused with attacks on the dignity of people who struggle with gender identity disorder. Those struggling and seeking answers often lose out in these public debates. Dr. Mark Yarhouse, a psychologist with 30 years of experience counselling individuals with gender identity struggles, observes that patients coming into his office are not seeking to tear down the “social constructs” of maleness or femaleness.² They are simply looking for help as they navigate troubled waters in this time of social change. This report identifies some misinformation in the public discussion, exposes some of the harm caused by current policy, and provides policy recommendations that respect the dignity of our transgender neighbours.

**What is transgenderism?**

Transgenderism is a term based on the experiences of a small proportion of the population who feel there is a difference between their “gender identity” and their biological sex. One’s biological sex is built into the DNA and sex hormones that shape one’s body. On the other hand, the new and contested concept of gender identity refers to how a person experiences masculinity or femininity. The term “transgendered”, then, is an umbrella term for the many ways in which people might experience or express their gender identity differently from people whose gender identity is congruent with their biological sex.

Perhaps the group that captures the most attention today are those who struggle with gender identity disorder, also known as gender dysphoria,³ a psychological phenomenon. According to the revised language of the DSM-5, “gender dysphoria refers to the distress that may accompany the incongruence between one’s experienced or expressed gender and one’s assigned gender.”⁴ Gender dysphoria is a rare ailment: according to the DSM-5, it manifests in only 0.005% - 0.014% of adult men and 0.002% - 0.003% of adult women.⁵
Gender dysphoria, a *psychological* condition, should not be confused with *biological* intersex conditions. Some people (<0.02%) suffer from disorders of sexual development (DSD)\(^6\), also referred to as intersex conditions (in older literature, “hermaphrodites”). Their genes, hormones, or body structures differ from the norm such that the sex of a baby with one of these conditions may be difficult to identify at birth. It is important to note that most intersex people are *not* lobbying to be legally recognized as the other sex or as a third sex, but are simply seeking to discover *to which sex they belong*.\(^7\) Their sex can typically be discovered through a chromosomal or blood test.

### When helping hurts – medical testimony

Celebration of transgenderism (changing one’s gender or identifying as a different sex or having sex-change surgery) is seen by some as the best way to assist transgender individuals.\(^8\) There is no evidence, however, that the negative outcomes associated with transgender identification – higher rates of suicide and attempted suicide, overall mortality, and need for psychiatric inpatient care – are alleviated by accepting and encouraging alternative gender identities in those with gender identity issues.

The theory behind popular approaches to transgenderism today is not scientific – it is political.\(^9\) Gender dysphoria is a psychological phenomenon. Gender fluidity is a social construct that normalizes gender dysphoria, and thereby impedes its diagnosis and treatment. Advancing this type of political theory without evidence is self-serving for many politicians, not otherserving. It results in bad public policy to the detriment of others, particularly youth, who struggle with their gender identity. To leave gender dysphoria untreated is to leave struggling individuals without help and to ignore experienced researchers in this field.

### Psychological condition or true identity?

Johns Hopkins Hospital was one of the first institutions in the United States to perform so-called “sex change” operations. Dr. Paul McHugh\(^11\), the chief psychiatrist there in the late 1970s, commissioned a study of Hopkins’ sex change program. The study found: “In a thousand subtle ways, the reassignee has the bitter experience that he is not—and never will be—a real girl but is, at best, a convincing simulated female. Such an adjustment cannot compensate for the tragedy of having lost all chance to be male, and of having in the final analysis, no way to be really female.”\(^12\) Some 40 years later, Dr. Sander Breiner\(^13\) concurs, explaining that she and her colleagues had to tell the surgeons that “the disturbed body image was not an organic [problem] at all, but was strictly a psychological problem. It could not be solved by organic manipulation (surgery, hormones)”.\(^14\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KEY TERMS(^9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biological Sex:</strong> As male or female (typically with reference to chromosomes, sex hormones and reproductive anatomy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender:</strong> The psychological, social and cultural aspects of being male or female.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender Identity:</strong> How you experience yourself (or think of yourself) as male or female including how masculine or feminine a person feels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transgender:</strong> an umbrella term for the many ways in which people might experience or present/express their gender identities differently from people whose gender identity is congruent with their biological sex.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cisgender:</strong> a term developed and used within the LGBTQ community to describe a person whose sense of gender matches their biological sex.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transsexual:</strong> a person who has or wishes to transition from living as a male (identified at birth) to adopting a female presentation (MtF) or vice versa (FtM).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender fluidity:</strong> the theory that a person can experience their gender not as fixed (as either male or female) but as fluctuating on a continuum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drag queen:</strong> A biological male who dresses as a female, typically for entertaining others. Does not tend to identify as transgender.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transvestism:</strong> Dressing as the other sex, typically for sexual arousal (and may reflect a fetish quality). Most do not experience gender dysphoria.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intersex:</strong> Describes a rare biological condition in which a person is born with sex characteristics or anatomy that does not allow clear identification as male or female. The causes of an intersex condition can be chromosomal, gonadal or genital.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

---
Many Canadian experts in the field of psychiatry, including those who regularly work with transgendered youth, have grave concerns about the politicization of this psychiatric issue. Toronto psychiatrist Dr. Joseph Berger\(^1\) says that some transsexuals “have claimed that they are ‘a woman trapped in a man’s body’ or [vice versa]. Scientifically, there is no such thing.”\(^2\) Dr. Ken Zucker\(^3\) sees the political approach to gender identity and fluidity as unsound.\(^4\) And Dr. Susan Bradley\(^5\) considers the political moves of some activists “disgraceful.”\(^6\) Dr. Paul McHugh\(^7\) says, “This is a disorder of the mind. Not a disorder of the body.”\(^8\) Policy makers should take these warnings to heart.

**Apotemnophilia: a comparison**

Apotemnophilia is a psychological disorder characterized by an individual’s intense and long-standing desire for the amputation of a specific limb. It is a type of Body Integrity Identity Disorder (BIID). Some with this condition look for surgeons willing to perform an amputation and some apotemnophiles have purposefully injured limbs to force emergency medical amputation.\(^9\) In 1997, Scottish doctor Robert Smith was performing these amputations before an outcry brought them to a halt.\(^10\) Would the compassionate option be to accommodate the person’s self-perception by amputating healthy limbs as Dr. Smith did, or to treat the psychological condition itself?

The comparisons between gender identity disorder, anorexia, apotemnophilia and other similar conditions are clear. As Dr. McHugh says, “It is not obvious how this patient’s feeling that he is a woman trapped in a man’s body differs from the feeling of a patient with anorexia that she is obese despite her emaciated, gaunt state. We don’t do liposuction on anorexics. Why amputate the genitals of these poor men?”\(^11\)

**Impact on children**

Gender identity disorder in children is recognizable and treatable. The evidence from many medical experts is clear: reinforcing gender identity disorder is confusing to a child and greatly increases the likelihood of a life of emotional and psychological suffering.\(^12\) This is particularly so with pre-pubescent children because the vast majority of boys and girls with gender dysphoria outgrow it by puberty.\(^13\) Dr. Zucker and Dr. Bradley note:

> It has been our experience that a sizable number of children and families achieve a great deal of change. In these cases, the gender identity disorder resolves fully, and nothing in the children's behavior or fantasy suggest that gender identity issues remain problematic. [...] We take the position that in such cases clinicians should be optimistic, not nihilistic, about the possibility of helping the children to become more secure in their gender identity.\(^14\)

Dr. Bradley regards gender identity disorder as one of several attachment disorders which affect mood, behavior, and social relationships and stem from a failure to form normal attachments to primary care giving figures in early childhood. “The symptoms, particularly the assumption of the role and behaviors of the opposite sex, act to quench the child’s anxiety”.\(^15\) In other words, gender dysphoria in children is a signal that help is needed. But when the experience of gender incongruence is celebrated as something worth reinforcing, the cry for help is silenced and the underlying issues remain unaddressed.

Note that an attachment disorder is not at play in every case of gender dysphoria, and where there is an attachment disorder, it is not necessarily the result of neglectful parenting. What causes gender identity disorder remains a mystery.\(^16\)
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Gender dysphoria in children is a signal that help is needed. When the signal itself is celebrated as something worth reinforcing, the cry for help is silenced.
Radical changes having long-term effects

In 2011, a comprehensive longitudinal study was completed on the effects of gender reassignment procedures. The Swedish report concluded: “Persons with transsexualism, after sex reassignment, have considerably higher risks for mortality, suicidal behaviour, and psychiatric morbidity than the general population.”30

The results of the Swedish longitudinal study are mirrored in an American national survey of 6,450 transgender people. In response to the question, “Have you ever attempted suicide?”, 41% of respondents answered yes.31 The same survey notes that 4.6% of the general population has. The American transgender advocacy survey explained the high suicide rate as resulting from a lack of acceptance or an insufficiently positive affirmation of transgender identity. However, evidence from jurisdictions like Sweden which are known for their affirmation of transgender identity show similar numbers. San Francisco, the rainbow capital of the world, also reports similar numbers of suicide attempts among the transgender community.32

The prevalence of comorbid conditions (i.e. coexisting conditions) must also be considered. Gender dysphoria often (though not always) presents itself along with other psychiatric disorders, and after patterns of abuse or neglect. According to a survey of Dutch psychiatrists, 61% of patients exhibiting gender dysphoria experience other comorbid conditions, including alcoholism, depression, anxiety, self-harm, a history of neglect or abuse, personality disorders, eating disorders, or psychotic and dissociative disorders.33 To simply affirm transgender identity is to neglect to inquire into what may be a complex matrix of related psychological and social issues a person is struggling with. We must not disregard evidence that a transgendered persons’ problems can be exacerbated by affirming their belief that they are the opposite sex. The Swedish longitudinal study cited above reveals that the rate of suicide attempts increases among those who have had ‘successful’ transgender surgeries.34

Walt Heyer writes of his and many others’ experience as a man seeking to transition to a woman. The doctor who approved Heyer’s surgery failed to explore or evaluate underlying secondary disorders. “Without learning of my childhood abuse, [the doctor] was incapable of diagnosing the comorbid dissociative disorder I had… Failure to address the original emotional issues means that the original emotional issues remain.”35 Heyer explains that “the high rate of suicide among transgenders is due to the lack of diagnosis and treatment of the coexisting disorders that cause suicide such as depression or other diagnosable mental or substance abuse disorders”.36

What ought we to do as a compassionate society?

Bullying, rejection by family, isolation, etc., can no doubt be significant issues for transgender people. Such behavior must change. But the reality is that family rejection, isolation, and bullying increase suicide risks for all youth, not just transgender youth. Ignoring this reality, some politicians condemn anything less than full affirmation, reinforcement and celebration of the gender incongruence in transgender youth, a “solution” that compounds the problem. They believe that not affirming a person’s transgender identity is akin to denying that person’s dignity or equal worth. Not so. A family or community can walk alongside a transgender individual with love and compassion, with the goal of resolving gender incongruence in keeping with their birth sex. We believe such an approach will dramatically reduce suicide rates for transgender people, particularly because other comorbid (coexisting) issues can then be properly identified and treated.

Frameworks for understanding gender

Dr. Mark Yarhouse, in his book Understanding Gender Dysphoria, writes about three different approaches or frameworks through which most people view gender incongruence: the disability framework, the diversity framework, and the integrity framework. He proposes an integrated approach, taking the best elements of each.37

The disability framework focuses on the mental health dimensions of gender identity disorder in a primarily clinical way. The diversity framework holds that diverse experiences of gender should be embraced and celebrated. Some who employ this framework see the male-female binary as a socially constructed authority structure to be eradicated,38 but most simply seek to give expression to the real, lived experience of transgender people and to answer the questions of identity and community: ‘who am I?’ and, ‘where do I belong?’
The integrity framework appeals to the integrity of maleness and femaleness stamped on one’s body by nature or by our Creator. God created humankind as male and female, equal in dignity and worth, yet with distinct and complementary bodies, abilities and roles. A person’s biological sex constitutes a vital part of their nature, the recognition of which helps to fully realize one’s physical, psychological, and social well-being.

Male and female are made in the image of God. To mar or diminish the masculine or feminine diminishes our God-given identity as male or female persons. Both reflect the glory of God. The sciences confirm that, apart from a few simple organisms, all creatures are marked by a fundamental binary sexual differentiation: male or female markers are imprinted on each of our trillions of cells. The testimony of biology and social-scientific evidence confirms the essential binary of the sexes.

ARPA Canada respectfully submits that all three frameworks – disability, diversity, and integrity – offer important emphases and raise important questions. An integrated approach accounts for the mental health dimensions of gender identity disorder and rejects dangerous and unhealthy experimentation. It gives space for expressing personal struggles and seeks to answer the questions ‘who am I?’ and ‘where do I belong?’ without deconstructing gender. And it affirms the inherent dignity and equal worth of every human being as either male or female, including those who struggle with confusion regarding their sexuality and gender.

**Recommendations**

In terms of scientific and social research, “gender identity” is still a relatively new field. The state should not enact questionable new laws or policies without any criterion of success or a clear understanding of the likely outcomes. Take just one example: in an effort to accommodate transgendered children, the provincial government in Alberta wants every school to work towards eliminating gender differences not only in the classroom, but even on sports teams and in change rooms. Alberta’s new Guidelines cite no scientific studies to support this policy. In reality, failing to account for sex differences in child development can do substantial harm. By choosing to apply only the diversity framework (as discussed above), new gender policies effectively neuter sexual difference.

ARPA Canada respectfully submits that the following 10 recommendations would better help transgendered youth:

1. State actors must cease to use the phrase “sex assigned at birth” and maintain the scientifically accurate term “sex”. Sex is a biological reality. It is not assigned. To use the language of “assigned” falsely assumes that any incongruence is a biological error, rather than a psychological one.

2. Provinces must ban all gender reassignment surgery on children before the age of 18. Further, since those who have had sex reassignment surgery have higher rates of attempted suicide, surgical transition should be abandoned as a treatment option even for adults.
3. Provinces must ban all cross-gender hormone treatment on children, including puberty suppressants, due to unacceptably high risks of depression and suicide\(^43\) and sterility\(^44\). To expose children to such risks and chemically alter their natural, healthy development (before they can give informed consent) is child abuse.

4. State actors, particularly in the education and medical fields, must ensure parents are fully informed of all struggles their child may have, including any indications the child is struggling with gender identity issues. Policies that keep such information from parents must be rejected.

5. The goal of treatment for gender dysphoria in youth should be resolving dysphoria. Where it cannot be resolved, focus should be on management, using the least invasive treatment/coping strategies.\(^45\)

6. Children exhibiting gender dysphoria should be cared for with an eye toward discovering and treating all other comorbid (coexisting) issues, without assuming that other comorbid issues must exist.\(^46\)

7. The State must provide ample room for civil society to respond to this issue. Parents, the medical profession, churches and other community groups must have the freedom to address gender dysphoria in their families and communities without the threat of enforced ideological conformity by the State.

8. Provinces must abandon laws that make “gender reinforcement” illegal. Such laws violate children’s rights and doctors’ conscience rights and interfere with parental decisions regarding the best interests of their children. For example, Ontario’s Bill 77 should be repealed.\(^47\) This law, and others like it, promote an ideological blindness at odds with the best interests of the patient.

9. The terms “gender identity” and “gender expression” should be removed from law because the terms are based on subjective perceptions and cannot be objectively evaluated or measured. There is no coherent reason to protect transgenderism, but not protect trans-racism,\(^48\) trans-ageism,\(^49\) trans-ableism,\(^50\) or even trans-speciesism.\(^51\) Further, adding “gender identity” and “gender expression” as protected grounds of discrimination in human rights legislation (as contemplated with Bill C-16\(^52\)) is unnecessary, since all transsexuals are already protected in law, no less than anyone else.\(^53\)

10. In places where a reasonable expectation of privacy exists, (washrooms, women’s gyms, etc.) a person’s sex must be the determining factor for access to certain facilities. While transgender people no doubt simply wish to use the facility they feel comfortable with, there is unfortunately no objective means to identify who is using a facility for that purpose and who may in fact be dangerous.\(^54\) Adding different genders has had the pernicious effect of subtracting the difference between the sexes and removing the privacy appropriate to them in certain social contexts.

Gender matters because people matter. Maleness and femaleness are binary and complementary biological realities and go to the core of what it means to be human. Governments ignore or undermine this reality to society’s detriment. While some children struggling with gender identity disorder may need exceptional care, the State helps no one by “breaking down gender” (i.e. the societal outworking of binary sexual norms) across the province or country. Politicians must be willing to take a stand for good public policy as it relates to gender and sexuality and base their positions and arguments on scientific research viewed through an integrated framework. This approach benefits all members of society and protects transgendered youth. With sound public policy, we can help our transgendered neighbours as they navigate troubled waters in times of social change.
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